

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Spatial design insights for security studies: digital space for research procedures and strategic actions

Dragana Ćirić^{1*} 

Correction to: *City, Territory and Architecture* (2026)
13:1 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40410-025-00284-6>

In this article, the second part of the Table 1 appears on page 3 (before the first one), while the first part of the table is placed on page 4 (after the second). The Table 1 has now been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40410-025-00284-6>.

*Correspondence:
Dragana Ćirić
unit.d.ciric@gmail.com
¹Belgrade, Serbia

© The Author(s) 2026. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Incorrect Table 1

Table 1 Relation between the security concepts (Mijalković and Popović 2018) and spatial determinants. The marked types (bold black text in grey-highlighted cells) indicate concepts added to those summarised in the cited publication (regular black text). Spatial categories have been organised to correspond to their security studies and sciences counterparts and provide a direct connection to spatial scopes and scales of subjects of inquiry. Source: Author, 2023-2024.

CRITERION 2: disciplinary area (security subject)			
Spatial dimension of each type of security (spatiality of security, or spatial manifestation of the stated concepts) is observed at alternating and multiple scales	Human Security		1. Personal Security 1.1. The Concept of Personal Freedoms and Rights 1.2. The Concept of Economic Security 2. Health Security 3. Social Security 3.1. The Traditional Concept of Social Security 3.2. The Contemporary Concept of Social Security 4. Economic Security 4.1. Employment 4.2. Salary 4.3. Financial Security - Security of the Economic System 4.4. Fiscal Security (of the States) 5. Military Security 6. Civil Security 7. State Security 7.1. The Values of State and Public Order 7.n. Different security concepts observed at the level of the State and in its framework
	Homeland Security		8. Environmental Security 8.1. Land 8.2. Water 8.3. Air 8.4. People and Objects 8.5. Disasters, Crises, Risks, and Resilience 8.6. Ecology 9. Energy Security
	Environmentalism		10. Food Security 11. Biosecurity 12. Traffic Security 13. Political Security 14. Objects / Property Security
	Energy Crisis, Sustainability, Renewable energy, Atomic energy		15. Legal Security 16. Spatial and Spatialised Security 16.1. Territorial Security 17. Space Security 17.1. Special Areas 17.2. Outer Space 17.3. Other 18. Technology Security 18.1. Computer Security 18.2. Cybersecurity 18.3. Digital Security 18.4. Network Security
	Governance, e-Governance		19. Information Security 20. Data Security 21. AI Security
	Legal Security (Potrzeszcz 2016, 2018)	State (active subject)	
SPATIAL SCALE	PERSONAL/SOCIAL ISSUES AND CONCEPTS	AUTHORITY / AGENT to pursue security objectives	SECURITY CONCEPTS based on the security subject
CRITERION 1: social-spatial and/or administrative scale and scope (note on units' interdependence in the contemporary framework)			
1. Micro-scale, object-like scale, scale of the architecture; also alternating scales		. Human individuals . Groups . State . International authorities	1. Human Security 1.1. The Concept of Human Security 1.2. The Concept of Societal Security
2. Scale of the national territories and administration units	. Threat by external State actors . Threat by external non-State actors . Threat by internal and external individuals, organised individuals (organised groups) . State-State relationships . External threat . Complex interrelated internal-external threat	. State . Government . Non-Governmental actors (supranational, transnational, international, national, and subnational forces) States	2. National Security 2.1. The Traditional Concept of National Security 2.2. The Contemporary Concept of National Security
3. Alternating scale (international space, the geo-determined space and adjacent outer space, shared natural regions, metropolitan regions and areas, etc.)		. International Organisations (IOs) . Intergovernmental Organisations (IGOs) . Non-Governmental Actors	3. International Security 3.1. Traditional Concepts of International Security 3.1.1. The Balance of Power 3.1.2. Collective Security 3.1.3. World Government 3.2. Contemporary Concepts of International Security 3.2.1. Security Community 3.2.2. Security Regime 3.2.3. Security Complex 3.2.4. Cooperative Security
4. Planetary scale			4. Global Security
5. Alternating scale (project- and orientation-dependent)			5. Non-Governmental Security Sector 5.1. Profit Entities of the Non-Governmental Security Sector 5.2. Non-Profit Entities of the Non-Governmental Security Sector
6. The scale of the universe, or the scale of the whole known and the unknown world	. Common good of all humanity	. Supranational Organisations (SNOs) . Other supranational entities	6. Supranational Security

Correct Table 1

Table 1 Relation between the security concepts (Mijalković and Popović 2018) and spatial determinants. The marked types (bold black text in grey-highlighted cells) indicate concepts added to those summarised in the cited publication (regular black text). Spatial categories have been organised to correspond to their security studies and sciences counterparts and provide a direct connection to spatial scopes and scales of subjects of inquiry. Source: Author, 2023-2024.

SPATIAL SCALE	PERSONAL/SOCIAL ISSUES AND CONCEPTS	AUTHORITY / AGENT to pursue security objectives	SECURITY CONCEPTS based on the security subject
CRITERION 1: social-spatial and/or administrative scale and scope (note on units' interdependence in the contemporary framework)			
1. Micro-scale, object-like scale, scale of the architecture; also alternating scales		. Human individuals . Groups . State . International authorities	1. Human Security 1.1. The Concept of Human Security 1.2. The Concept of Societal Security
2. Scale of the national territories and administration units	. Threat by external State actors . Threat by external non-State actors . Threat by internal and external individuals, organised individuals (organised groups) . State-State relationships . External threat . Complex interrelated internal-external threat	. State . Government . Non-Governmental actors (supranational, transnational, international, national, and subnational forces) States	2. National Security 2.1. The Traditional Concept of National Security 2.2. The Contemporary Concept of National Security
3. Alternating scale (international space, the geo-determined space and adjacent outer space, shared natural regions, metropolitan regions and areas, etc.)		. International Organisations (IOs) . Intergovernmental Organisations (IGOs) . Non-Governmental Actors	3. International Security 3.1. Traditional Concepts of International Security 3.1.1. <i>The Balance of Power</i> 3.1.2. <i>Collective Security</i> 3.1.3. <i>World Government</i> 3.2. Contemporary Concepts of International Security 3.2.1. <i>Security Community</i> 3.2.2. <i>Security Regime</i> 3.2.3. <i>Security Complex</i> 3.2.4. <i>Cooperative Security</i>
4. Planetary scale			4. Global Security
5. Alternating scale (project- and orientation-dependent)			5. Non-Governmental Security Sector 5.1. Profit Entities of the Non-Governmental Security Sector 5.2. Non-Profit Entities of the Non-Governmental Security Sector
6. The scale of the universe, or the scale of the whole known and the unknown world	. Common good of all humanity	. Supranational Organisations (SNOs) . Other supranational entities	6. Supranational Security
CRITERION 2: disciplinary area (security subject)			
Spatial dimension of each type of security (<i>spatiality</i> of security, or spatial manifestation of the stated concepts) is observed at alternating and multiple scales	Human Security		1. Personal Security 1.1. The Concept of Personal Freedoms and Rights 1.2. The Concept of Economic Security
			2. Health Security
			3. Social Security 3.1. The Traditional Concept of Social Security 3.2. The Contemporary Concept of Social Security
			4. Economic Security 4.1. Employment 4.2. Salary
			4.3. Financial Security - Security of the Economic System
			4.4. Fiscal Security (of the States)
			5. Military Security
	Homeland Security		6. Civil Security 7. State Security 7.1. The Values of State and Public Order 7.n. Different security concepts observed at the level of the State and in its framework
			8. Environmental Security
	Environmentalism		8.1. Land 8.2. Water 8.3. Air 8.4. People and Objects 8.5. Disasters, Crises, Risks, and Resilience 8.6. Ecology
	Energy Crisis, Sustainability, Renewable energy, Atomic energy		9. Energy Security
			10. Food Security
			11. Biosecurity
			12. Traffic Security
	Governance, e-Governance		13. Political Security
			14. Objects / Property Security
	Legal Security (Potrzebszcz 2016, 2018)	State (active subject)	15. Legal Security
			16. Spatial and Spatialised Security
			16.1. Territorial Security
			17. Space Security 17.1. Special Areas 17.2. Outer Space 17.3. Other
	Computer Ethics, HCI Cyberspace, Cyber Society Digital Society, Dig. Citizenship Network Society, Hyper-Networked Society, Net. Ethics Information Society, Inf. Ethics Data Privacy, (Big) Data Ethics AI		18. Technology Security 18.1. Computer Security 18.2. Cybersecurity 18.3. Digital Security 18.4. Network Security
			19. Information Security
			20. Data Security
			21. AI Security

The original article has been corrected.

Published online: 07 February 2026

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.